S4-I4

Nonequelibrium carrier dynamics in Landau quantized graphene and mercury cadmium telluride

Stephan Winnerl

Institute of Ion Beam Physics and Materials Research, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Bautzner landstrasse 400, D-01328, Dresden, Germany Email: s.winnerl@hzdr.de

The narrow-gap semiconductor mercury cadmium telluride (MCT) is used for decades as a material for applications in the mid- and far infrared, in particular for detectors. Graphene, on the other hand, has been explored in recent years regarding THz detection, modulation, generation and harmonic generation [1]. In magnetic fields, both materials exhibit strongly nonequidistant Landau-level (LL) systems. Here we present an overview that sheds light into the carrier dynamics of in Landau-quantized Dirac electrons in graphene and Kane electrons in MCT. The non-equidistant Landauladder makes these materials highly attractive for realizing the old dream of the semiconductor physics community to fabricate a Landau-level laser. For a recent review on this topic, see Ref. [2]. In such a laser, stimulated emission is achieved between a pair of Landau levels and the emission wavelength can be



Fig. 1: Pump-induced transmission of graphene for copolarized and counterpolarized excitation with circularly polarized radiation and Landau-level scheme.

tuned by the strength of the magnetic field. In graphene, we found evidence for strong Auger scattering for the lowest allowed transitions $LL_{-1} \rightarrow LL_0$ and $LL_0 \rightarrow LL_1$ [3]. These energetically degenerate transitions can be distinguished by applying circularly polarized radiation of opposite polarization. In this configuration, Auger scattering can cause depletion of the LL_0 level even though it is optically pumped at the same time. Recently, we have investigated the $LL_2 \rightarrow LL_1$ and $LL_1 \rightarrow LL_2$ transition under strong optical pumping. This transition is a candidate for the lasing transition for a Landau-level laser. We observed non-equilibrium carrier distributions by selective pumping before thermalization occurred. MCT, on the other hand, is even more attractive because of much longer relaxation times [4]. They are on the ns scale while in graphene thermalization occurs on a timescale of a few ps. The reason for the longer timescale is the different Landau ladder due to spin splitting.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Mittendorff, S. Winnerl, and T.E. Murphy, Advanced Optical Materials, 9 2001500 (2021).

- [2] E. Gornik, G. Strasser und K. Unterrainer, Nature Photonics 15, 875 (2021).
- [3] M. Mittendorff, F. Wendler, E. Malic, A. Knorr, M. Orlita, M. Potemski, C. Berger, W. A. de Heer, H. Schneider, M. Helm und S. Winnerl, *Nature Physics* **11**, (2015).
- [4] D. B. But, M. Mittendorff, C. Consejo, F. Teppe, N. N. Mikhailov, S. A. Dvoretskii, C. Faugeras, S. Winnerl, M. Helm, W. Knap, M. Potemski und M. Orlita, Nature Photonics **13**, 783 (2019).